

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,500		09/15/2003	Nathaniel Bair	67102-013	9191	
26096	7590	03/07/2005		EXAMINER		
CARLSON 400 WEST	-	EY & OLDS, P.C.	CHURCH,	CHURCH, CRAIG E		
SUITE 350	WIAI DD	ROAD	. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BIRMINGH	IAM, MI	I 48009	2882	2882		
				DATE MAILED: 03/07/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	Application No. Applicant(s)							
		10/662,50	3	BAIR, NATHANIEL						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Craig E. Cl	nurch	2882						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on									
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.									
3)	Since this application is in condition for al	·			e merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	<i>₃yle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims										
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicat	ion Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>										
2) Notice 3) Information	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/94) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>6903</u> .		4) Interview Summer Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	• •	ΓΟ-152)					

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 14-17, 20-22, 25-27, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ning (6480565). Ning teaches computer tomography comprising rotating gantry 206 supporting cone beam x-ray source 210 and flat panel detector 208, motor 212 for rotating gantry 206, motor 214 for vertically moving gantry 206 along the rotation axis A, motor 216 for moving detector 208, computer 302 including disk memory 218, x-ray control 326 controlled by computer 302 on gantry 206 and gantry control 324 controlled by computer 302 on gantry 206.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18, 19, 23, 24 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ning as above. In Ning's system the 3d images are ultimately formed by offboard host computer 306 rather than by gantry computer 302, but it would have been obvious to generate said images by computer 302 in order to shorten processing time by eliminating data transfer. Wirelass image transmission is notorious.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ning as above. Ning fails to specify where gantry motor 212 is mounted, but gantry control 324 is on the gantry (figure 3), and it would have been obvious that gantry control would inherently include the motor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Church at telephone number (571) 272-2488.

Craig E. Church Senior Examiner

Senior Examine Art Unit 2882